Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/641,933	PEARLSTEIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George L. Walton	3753
All Participants: Status of Application: 2 <sup>nd</sup> Action Non-Final		
(1) Mr. Jonathan R. Sick - attorney.	(3) Mr. George L. Walton	- examiner.
(2) Mr. Herbert D. Hart III - attorney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2004	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  All		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:  Zheng et al (EP 0, 916, 891 A3 and U.S. Patent No. 6,314, 986 B1.		
Part II.		,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		•
Der Walten 12/6/04		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of the above prior art, the original filed claims overcome the previous art rejection dated July 2, 2002. This is a direct result of the applicants amending the previous unexecuted declaration. Upon the filing of a new executed declaration, the previous art rejection is hereby overcomed and the instant application is now in condition for allowance.